Main presentation, part B (1 ½ h, about 30 slides)

- 6. Performance figures
 - a. Energy performance and primary energy balance, including COPel, electrical consumption of heat rejection and efficiency improvement potential for other components (e.g. pumps)
 - b. Economic and environmental analysis, energy saving certification

6. **Performance figures**

a.Energy performance and primary energy balance, including COPel, electrical consumption of heat rejection and efficiency improvement potential for other components (e.g. pumps)





$Q_{\underline{backup}}$ Primary energy analysis $PE_{spec,solar} = \frac{\varepsilon_{fossil}}{Q_{cold}} + PE_{spec,coolingtower}$ $= \frac{\mathbf{Q}_{\text{backup}}}{\varepsilon_{\text{fossil}}} \frac{1}{\mathbf{Q}_{\text{cold}}} + PE_{\text{spec,coolingtower}}$ Definition thermal chiller driven by solar energy: $= \frac{Q_{drivingheat}(1-F_{sol})}{\varepsilon_{fossil}} \frac{1}{Q_{cold}} + PE_{spec,coolingtower}$ $= \frac{(1 - F_{sol})}{\varepsilon_{fossil}} \frac{Q_{drivingheat}}{Q_{cold}} + PE_{spec, coolingtower}$ $=\frac{(1-F_{sol})}{\varepsilon_{fossil}.COP_{thermal}} + PE_{spec,coolingtower}$ where: $COP_{thermal} = \frac{Q_{cold}}{Q_{driving hast}}$ E_{coolingtower} cooling tower: $= \frac{\varepsilon_{elect}}{Q_{cold}} = \frac{E_{spec,coolingtower} Q_{heatrejected}}{\varepsilon_{elect}} \frac{1}{Q_{cold}}$ PE_{spec,coolingtower} $= \frac{E_{\textit{speccoolingtover}}}{\mathcal{E}_{\textit{elect}}} \frac{(Q_{\textit{drivingheat}} + Q_{\textit{cold}})}{Q_{\textit{cold}}}$ $=\frac{E_{spec,coolingtover}}{\mathcal{E}_{oloct}}\left(1+\frac{1}{COP_{thormal}}\right)$ 4

Source : RIDEF





5

Primary Energy Ratio

 $\overline{Q_{el} \cdot PEF_{el}} + \overline{Q_{h,add}} \cdot PEF_{gas}$

Cooling energy divided by the sum of consumed electricity and additional thermal energy multiplied by the PEF factors of 2.7 for electricity in Germany (GEMIS) and 1.1 for gas boiler.

Electric compression chillers, PER:<1.0</th>Solar thermal cooling systems today:1.0 – 1.7

Potential thermal for COPel = 10 - 12: 3.5 - 4.5 (where COPel = Qcool / Qel) Source : Zafh-NET





Energy Efficiency

Coefficient of Performance (COP) = Cold produced / Heat input







Electricity consumption and COP_{el}



(ambient temperature used for the heat rejection)

Source : Zafh-NET





Primary energy consumption & primary energy ratio PER







Conclusions

- 20% increase in solar fraction only through optimised system control!
 - □ Variable generator inlet temperature 15 %
 - Cooling tower control5 %
 - Strong influence of control strategy on the electrical COP of the solar cooling system
 - □ simple control (Case 1): COPel = 6.1
 - optimised control (Case 2): COPel = 11.0 (Electrical compression chiller COPel = 3.2)
 - The primary energy ratio PER of the solar cooling systems varies according to the control strategy and type of cooling tower by a factor of two between 1.0 and 2.0 (Electrical compression chiller PER = 1.1)!





Heat Rejection Considerations

- Absorption chillers almost always requires a wet cooling tower to achieve <35°C cooling water to prevent crystallisation on peak summer days
 - Availability of water
 - Maintenance, legionella
 - Adsorption chillers and ammonia water absorption chillers can be air-cooled (don't have crystallisation issues)
 - Can use a dry cooler, possibly with sprays for peak summer hours
 - Parasitic electricity consumption
 - □ Higher temperature lift with air cooler leads to higher heat source temperature requirement
 - Desiccant systems don't generally require heat rejection equipment but may require water for the evaporative cooler.
 - Evaporative water consumption is a little bit less than that required in the cooling tower of a high efficiency conventional mechanical chiller
 - Latent heat removal only system does not require water





Heat rejection & parasitic electricity consumption



Inefficient single effect sorption chillers have a much greater heat rejection requirement ...but are using lower temperature solar energy !

Source : CSIRO





Parasitic Power consumption : lessons learnt

- Do use variable speed drives on the cooling tower/ dry cooler fans
- Do use high efficiency pumps
- Do minimise pipe runs
- Do ensure balancing of solar field is achieved without excessive use of pressure reduction valves
- Do measure it !!
- Do ensure pumps are not running when not required
- Consider double effect chiller if your application and climate allows it





Parasitic Power consumption

(example single effect chiller, indicative numbers only)

	Full Load		Part Load Fixed Speed Fan		Part Load Variable Speed Fan	
	High Efficiency Pumps	Low Efficiency Pumps	High Efficiency Pumps	Low Efficiency Pumps	High Efficiency Pumps	Low Efficiency Pumps
Cooling (W)	8000	8000	4000	4000	4000	4000
Pump Power (W)	230	550	230	550	230	550
Fan Power (W)	650	650	650	650	100	100
COP _{el}	9.1	6.7	4.5	3.3	12.1	6.2

<u>Conclusion</u> : - Use high efficiency ancillaries and variable speed drives

- Use higher efficiency cooling machine in the first place

Source : CSIRO

- Don't oversize your chiller/ explore variable speed on pumps





Parasitic Power consumption

Efficiency improvement potential for pumps

Example of a 10 kW absorption cooling system with dry heat rejection : investment and performances





Cost distribution SHC-System Garching

Source : ZAE Bayern

6. Performance figures

b. Economic and environmental analysis, energy saving certification





Economical context for solar cooling

Number of installations still limited

(solcoproject Southern European Islands: about 20 installations, Austria with Roadmap Solar Air conditioning:19, world wide about 1000-1200 in 2013)

- High initial investment cost: payback times 10-20 years in best cases
- But.. financial schemes for support existing in some countries
- CO₂ trading might change the picture: California study 2020 for significance of CO₂ trading: 0 MW (no CO₂ price), 53 MW 123 \$/t CO₂), 300 MW (CO₂ price high and COP=1.2) LBL, Chris Marnay, 3rd International Conference on Solar Air-Conditioning, September 30 October 2, 2009, University Palermo, Sicily, Italy

 Legislation should support market introduction (Renewable Heat laws make 15% solar heating and cooling mandatory in Germany in 2013)









Economical context for solar cooling

Future Paybacks will be significant less as

- Fuel and electricity get more expensive
- Solar cooling gets cheaper
- Carbon financing and environmental costs get implemented in investment schemes
- Refrigerants will phase out

But we need to start today

- To create knowledge
- To create a critical mass





Possible path toward competitiveness for solar cooling







Solar Cooling Systems

Cost comparison absorption – compression (1/3)

Model	Absorption	Compression
Cooling performance	150 kW	150 kW
Energy input	214 kW _{th}	50 kW _{el}
COP	0.7	3.3
Investment costs	59,308 €	33,205€
Specific investment costs	395 €/kW	233 €/kW
Dimension re-cooling tower	364 kW	195 kW
Costs re-cooling tower	10,238 €	6,990€
Costs peripheral equipment ⁵	50,623€	40,498€
Specific water consumption	5 m³/MWh	-









Cost comparison absorption – compression (2/3)

	Type of unit	Absorption chiller 150 kW, 1,000 full-load	hours, 5 € cent/kWh	heat
1	Energy cost, Water cost in total		15,850	Euro/yr
2	Electricity		-	Euro/yr
3	Heat		-	Euro/yr
4	Water		-	Euro/yr
5	Annual costs of	repairing and service in total	2,008	Euro/yr
6	Cost of investments in total 132,186 Euro			Euro
7	Annual annuity from investment (6%, 15 years) 13,610 Euro/yr			Euro/yr
8	Cooling production cost in total		31,468	Euro/yr
	Specific costs		209.79	Euro/MWh

	Price in the first year	Annual price increase
Heat price [€ cent/kWh]	5,00	1%
Heat base price [€/a]	100,00	1%
Power price [€ cent/kWh]	12,00	2%
Water [€/m³] incl. treatment	2,00	1%





Solar Cooling Systems

Cost comparison absorption – compression (3/3)

	Type of unit	Compression chiller 150 kW, 1,000 full-loa	d hours	
1	Energy cost, Wat	er cost in total	6,840	Euro/yr
2	Electricity		-	Euro/yr
3	Heat		-	Euro/yr
4	Water		-	Euro/yr
5	Annual costs of re	epairing and service in total	3,744	Euro/yr
6	Cost of investments in total			Euro
7	Annual annuity from investment (6%, 15 years) 9,139 Euro/yr			Euro/yr
8	Cooling production	on cost in total	19,724	Euro/yr
	Specific production	on costs	131.49	Euro/MWh





Cost curves derived from various cost data

without taxes, peripheric components (e.g., cooling tower), installation







Potential for cost reduction

Component / Parameter	Development until the year 2015 compared to 2010		
	Absorption	Adsorption	
Expenses for installation & integration	- 13 %	- 14 %	
Expenses for maintenance	- 10 %	- 18 %	
Thermal COP	+ 7 %	+ 9 %	
Machine lifetime	+/- 0 %	+/- 0 %	
Price of re-cooling system	- 20 %		
Electricity consumption of the periphery (pumps, fans)	- 28 %		





Specific Costs of Low Capacity Solar Cooling Systems



Specific Costs of Solar Cooling Kits in Europe:

- 5,000 to 8,000 EUR/kW in 2007
- 4,000 to 4,500 EUR/kW in 2008

Without Installation Costs and Cold Distribution

- 3,500 to 4,500 EUR/kW in 2009
- About 3,500 €/kW in 2012-2013 (2,500 €/kW only cooling kit)

Source : Zafh-NET





Systematic economic analysis

Hypothesis

INVESTMENT COST VARIATION

Solar thermal collectors: LOW 100 €/m², MEDIUM 300 €/m², HIGH 500 €/m² Sorption chiller system costs: LOW 500 €/kW, MEDIUM 1500 €/kW, HIGH 3000 €/kW Installation and system integration: LOW 10%, MEDIUM 20%, HIGH 30% of investment

IRRADIANCE VARIATION

LOW irr 1000 kWh/m² (Northern European) MED irr 1750 kWh/m² (Southern European - MEDIUM) HIGH irr 2500 kWh/m² (Sahara-HIGH).

ELECTRICAL PRICE

LOW elec: 0,08 €/kWh MED elec: 0,16 € /kWh HIGH elec: 0,24 €/ kWh

INTEREST RATES

LOW inter: 0% MED inter 4% HIGH inter 8%





Summary economics for low irradiance conditions



Variation of electricity price for low irradiance

Economical performance only at high electricity price and system costs around 1000 €/kW





Medium irradiance conditions

Variation of electricity price for medium irradiance



Economical performance for medium electricity price up to 2000 €/kW system costs, for high electricity prices up to 3000 €/kW 27

Source : Zafh-NET





High electricity price conditions

Variation irradiance for high electricity price



At high electricity prices and high irradiance, system costs up to 4000 €/kW are possible





Conclusions

- Costs are still high due to low number of installations: important are reduced investment costs, low heat prices and high full load hours
- Large systems are closer to economical performance
- For system prices of 1000 €/kW paybacks of 2 to 13 years are possible for long operating hours and heat costs close to zero
- For Mediterranean irradiance conditions solar cooling systems are economically feasible at medium electricity prices (0.16€/kWh) up to 2000 €/kW system costs, for high electricity prices (0.24 €/kWh) up to 3000 €/kW





Contracting models

Energy performance contracting (EPC)



For further explanations :

Final report on Contracting Models for Solar Thermally Driven Cooling and Heating Systems (Task 48 - C6 activity final report)

(see http://task48.iea-shc.org website and Publications section)





Incentive schemes

Review of relevant international standards rating and incentive schemes



For further explanations :

<u>Review of relevant international standards rating and incentive schemes</u> *Task48 - Activity C1 Report*

(see http://task48.iea-shc.org website and Publications section)